. •) •	[] []	-() - (
REPORT			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	İ	ı		İ		DISCU	JSSION WITH OF	1	ANSWERS TO JURY,
0 ——	phenomenon explanation	theory/model	relevant experiments	comparison between theory and experimen	nt own cont		task fulfilment	science comm		aı	relevant rguments/response	reporter's conduct at the	OPPONENT, and REVIEWER'S QUESTIONS
	almost no	almost no	too few	no/ almost no	others' data, in	correctly cited	misunderstood	unclear, o	chaotic	0 —		aiscussion	
	some	some	some	some	review of so	urces, cited	partly	partly o	clear		too few	poor	concise and correct or
2 =	fair	fair	fair	not well fitting	some ov	vn input	average	avera	age		some	some aspects fine	0 no questions asked
3 =	good	good	well performed, sufficient numbe		+ some intere	esting results	some aspects above average	some p			many	good	some incorrect,
5 =	detailed demonstrative	quite detailed,	+ results explaine errors analysed	<u> </u>	considerable		interesting solution	overall demonst	clear,	2 <u> </u>	+ data/theory onvincingly supporte	some aspects ed efficient	inconclusive or too long deeply incorrect or show
/	and comprehensible,	•	-	well fitting, deviations	s considerable	experimental	greater extent than expected	+ complex commun	ncepts well	3 —	proved deep understanding	overall efficient	deep misconceptions
OPPON	NOTES: OPPONENT Start from 1 and add/subtract 1 +												
QUESTI	ONS ASKED	OPPOSITIO	N (SPEECH)			DISCUSSI	DISCUSSION WITH REPORTER				ANSWERS TO JURY and		
,	few, mostly irrelevant		` '	nt topics own opinion	ons	time		elevant	own opinior	ons (ns opponent's conduc	of	REVIEWER'S QUESTIONS
	•	nre	•	dressed presente	nrioritisati	manageme		ntific topics	presente	- 1	the discussion	prioritisation	•
1	evant, aimed at resolvir	ng		r irrelevant too few		poor		most no	too few		poor	no	o concise and correct or
und	clear points in the repor		main points	few some	some	reasonabl		few	some		some aspects fine		no questions asked
2 - + sl	hort, apt and clear, well		· ·								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		some incorrect,
	oritized, all time used		•	some some corr				some	some corre		good	reasonable	inconclusive or too long
		3 = all rele	evant points	many many corr		efficient	——I3 <i>—</i> ———	good	many corre		some aspects efficie	ent fair	deeply incorrect or show
NOTES:		4 = practic	ally all points pra	ctically all + improver suggestio	□ Verv gnn	d all time use	4 —	ew crucial point(s)	+ improven suggestion	I	overall efficient	very good	-2 — deep misconceptions
REVIEWER Start from 1 and add/subtract 1 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +													
QUESTIONS ASKED REVIEW			EVIEW OF REPO	RT	REVIE	REVIEW OF OPPOSITION			DISCUSS		NALYSIS I	MISSED POINTS	ANSWERS TO JURY
o too few, mostly irrelevant relevant, meant to clarify uncl 1 + suitably allotted to Rep & O most time used			report evaluation			speech			disc	cussion	correct own	POINTED OUT	QUESTIONS
			& understanding	pros & cons prioriti	itisation	evaluation	pros & cons	prioritisation	eva	luation	on opinions		concise and correct or
		unclear points 0	poor/wrong	irrelevant	no 0	poor/wrong	irrelevant	no	o almost	nost no		irrelevant	no questions asked
		₹ Opp,	partial						— too sh	nort/long	g some		·
		1					partially relevant	some	1 —		<u> </u>	none none	-1 some incorrect,
+	hort, apt and clear, well	prioritized 2	good	mostly adequate reas	onable 2 = in	nformative, apt	mostly adequate	reasonable			<u> </u>	relevant,	inconclusive or too long
time managed efficiently		3	detailed, complex	fully adequate	good 3	condensed & accurate	fully adequate	good	2	curate, iclusive	fully 1 — adequate		-2 — deeply incorrect or show deep misconceptions

Juror's name & signature:

reviewer:

problem no.:

opponent:

SCORESHEET

fight (round no.):

reporter:

stage:

room:

NOTES:

REPORTER

Start from 1 and add/subtract